

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action, the drawings were objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). Claims 1, 2, 4-11 and 23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

In response to the rejections, the Examiner's comments have been taken into consideration so as to amend claims 1 and 5 to avoid the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first and second paragraphs and to avoid the objection to the drawings. Accordingly, the application should be in condition for allowance.

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present application should now be in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is in order, and such favorable action and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

However, if after reviewing the above amendments and remarks, the Examiner has any questions or comments, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

By: *Jonathan J. Holman Reg. No. 22,769*
John C. Holman
Reg. No. 22,769

400 Seventh Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201
(202) 638-6666
Date: November 25, 2009
JCH/JLS:crj